UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

SHEREE KREUGER, co-executrix of the estate of MARY ANN FONNER, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP; and ASTRAZENECA LP;

Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-02370

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, by her attorneys, **HILL, PETERSON, CARPER, BEE & DEITZLER, PLLC** and **DOUGLAS & LONDON, P.C.**, upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, because the amount in controversy as to the Plaintiff exceeds $75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and because Defendants are incorporated and have their principal places of business in states other than the state in which the named Plaintiff resides.

NATURE OF THE CASE

2. This action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff, SHEREE KREUGER, co-executrix of the estate of MARY ANN FONNER, who used prescription brand Nexium for treatment of her peptic disorder.

3. Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER seeks compensatory damages on behalf of the statutory beneficiaries of her mother, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, as prescribed by West Virginia Code §55-7-6.

4. Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER seeks these damages as a result of Decedent MARY ANN FONNER's use of Nexium, which caused her to suffer and ultimately pass away from Acute Interstitial Nephritis ("AIN"), Chronic Kidney Disease ("CKD") and Renal Failure, as well as other severe and personal injuries.

5. Defendants, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP and AstraZeneca LP (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold, and distributed Nexium.

6. When warning of safety and risks of Nexium, Defendants negligently represented to the medical and healthcare community, the Food and Drug Administration (hereinafter referred to as the "FDA"), Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, her treating physicians, and the public in general, that Nexium had been tested and was found to be safe and/or effective for its indicated use in treating peptic disorders.

7. Defendants concealed their knowledge of Nexium's defects, specifically the fact that it causes serious kidney injuries, from Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, her treating physicians, hospitals, pharmacies, the FDA, the public in general and/or the medical community.

8. These representations were made by Defendants with the intent of defrauding and deceiving Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, her physicians, the public in general, and the medical and healthcare community in particular, and were made with the intent of inducing the public in general, and the medical community in particular, to recommend, dispense and/or purchase Nexium for the treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy, all of which evinced a callous, reckless, willful, depraved indifference to health, safety and welfare of Decedent MARY ANN FONNER herein.

9. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was caused to suffer serious and dangerous side effects including inter alia AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death.

10. Consequently, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages as a result of Decedent MARY ANN FONNER's use of Nexium, which has caused her to suffer from AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death.

PARTY PLAINTIFF

11. Plaintiff, SHEREE KREUGER is the daughter of the decedent, MARY ANN FONNER, and co-executor of her Estate.

12. Plaintiff, SHEREE KREUGER is a citizen of the United States of America and is a resident of West Virginia.

13. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, was a citizen of the United States of America, and was a resident of West Virginia.

14. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was born on January 15, 1935.

15. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER first began using prescription brand Nexium on October 4, 2010, and she used prescription brand Nexium up through January 2015.

16. As result of her ingestion of Defendants' Nexium, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER suffered from AIN, CKD and renal failure beginning with CKD, which was diagnosed on April 14, 2015, as well as attendant pain, suffering, and emotional distress.

17. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER's AIN, CKD and renal failure ultimately led to her death on May 20, 2015.

18. The injuries and damages sustained by Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, were caused by Defendants' Nexium and their unlawful conduct with respect to its design, manufacture, marketing and sale.

19. As a result of Defendants' failure to warn and/or concealment of its knowledge that their Nexium caused kidney injuries, such as those suffered by Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER did not discover, nor did she have reason to discover, their wrongful conduct as alleged herein until the Summer of 2016.

PARTY DEFENDANTS

20. Defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a Delaware corporation with its corporate headquarters in Wilmington, Delaware.

21. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP was engaged in the business of designing, developing, manufacturing, testing, packaging, promoting, marketing, distributing, labeling, and/or selling Nexium products.

22. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP was present and doing business in the State of Delaware and West Virginia.

23. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP transacted, solicited, and conducted business in the State of Delaware and West Virginia and derived substantial revenue from such business.

24. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, Defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, LP expected or should have expected that its acts would have consequences within the United States of America, and the State of Delaware and West Virginia.

25. Upon information and belief, Defendant AstraZeneca LP is, and at all times relevant to this action was, a Delaware corporation.

26. Defendant AstraZeneca LP is the holder of approved New Drug Applications ("NDAs") 21-153 and 21-154 for Nexium (Esomeprazole Magnesium), and it manufactures and markets Nexium (Esomeprazole Magnesium) in the United States.

27. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto Defendant AstraZeneca LP was engaged in the business of designing, developing, manufacturing, testing, packaging, promoting, marketing, distributing, labeling and/or selling Nexium products.

28. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant AstraZeneca LP was present and doing business in the State of Delaware and West Virginia.

29. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant AstraZeneca LP transacted, solicited, and conducted business in the State of Delaware and West Virginia, and derived substantial revenue from such business.

30. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, Defendant AstraZeneca LP expected or should have expected that its acts would have consequences within the United States of America, and the State of Delaware and West Virginia.

31. Upon information and belief, each Defendant was the agent and employee of each other Defendant, and in doing the things alleged was acting within the course and scope of such agency and employment and with each other Defendant's actual and implied permission, consent, authorization, and approval.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

32. This action seeks, among other relief, general and special damages and equitable relief due to Decedent MARY ANN FONNER suffering AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death caused by her ingestion of the proton pump inhibitor Nexium.

33. Upon information and belief, Defendants began marketing and selling prescription brand Nexium in 2001.

34. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER began taking prescription brand Nexium in October 2010.

35. At all relevant times, Defendants heavily marketed Nexium to treat peptic disorders, including but not limited to gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

36. Defendants' marketing of Nexium included advertisements, press releases, web site publications, sales representative pitches and other communications.

37. Materials including advertisements, press releases, webs site publications and other communications regarding Nexium are part of the labeling of the drug and could be altered by Defendants without prior FDA approval.

38. Proton pump inhibitors ("PPIs"), including Defendants' Nexium, are one of the most commonly prescribed medications in the United States.

39. More than 15 million Americans used prescription PPIs in 2013, costing more than $10 billion.

40. However, it has been estimated that between 25% and 70% of these prescriptions have no appropriate indication.

41. Up to 70% of PPIs may be used inappropriately for indications or durations that were never tested or approved.

42. Further, 25% of long-term PPI users could discontinue therapy without developing any symptoms.

43. Defendants sold Nexium with National Drug Code (NDC) numbers 0186-5020,

0186-5022, 0186-5040, 0186-5042, 0186-4010, 0186-4020, and 0186-4040.

44. Nexium is a PPI that works by reducing hydrochloric acid in the stomach.

45. During the period in which Nexium has been sold in the United States, hundreds of reports of injuries, including kidney injuries, have been submitted to the FDA in association with ingestion of Nexium and other PPIs.

46. Defendants have had notice of serious adverse health outcomes regarding kidney disease associated with their Nexium through case reports, clinical studies and post-market surveillance.

47. Specifically, Defendants had received numerous case reports of kidney injuries in patients that had ingested Nexium as early as 2001. As such, these reports of numerous kidney injuries put Defendants on notice as to the excessive risks of kidney injuries related to the use of Nexium.

48. In October of 1992, researchers from the University of Arizona Health Sciences Center led by Stephen Ruffenach published the first article associating PPI usage with kidney injuries in the *American Journal of Medicine*, followed by years of reports from national adverse drug registries describing the association.

49. Several observational studies have linked PPI use, including Nexium use, to serious adverse health outcomes, including acute interstitial nephritis and acute kidney injury.

50. In 2006, researchers at the Yale School of Medicine conducted a case series published in the International Society of Nephrology's *Kidney International* finding that PPI use, by way of acute interstitial nephritis, left most patients "with some level of chronic kidney disease."

51. On August 23, 2011, Public Citizen, a consumer advocacy group, filed a petition with the U.S. FDA to add black box warnings and other safety information concerning several risks

associated with PPIs, including acute interstitial nephritis.

52. At the time of the August 23, 2011 filing, the petition stated that there "was no detailed risk information on any PPI for this adverse effect."

53. On October, 31, 2014, more than three years after Public Citizen's petition, the FDA responded by requiring risk of acute interstitial nephritis on all prescription PPIs.

54. The FDA noted "that the prescription PPI labeling should be consistent with regard to this risk" and that "there is reasonable evidence of a causal association."

55. In December of 2014, the labels of prescription PPIs were updated to read:

> *Acute interstitial nephritis has been observed in patients taking PPIs including [Brand]. Acute interstitial nephritis may occur at any point during PPI therapy and is generally attributed to an idiopathic hypersensitivity reaction. Discontinue [Brand] if acute interstitial nephritis develops.*

56. A study from 2015 shows that acute kidney injuries increased 250% in elderly patients that were newly prescribed PPIs. The acute kidney injuries occurred with 120 days of the patients staring the PPIs.

57. From the findings identified above, PPIs and/or their metabolites – substances formed via metabolism – have been found to deposit within the spaces between the tubules of the kidney and act in such a way to mediate acute interstitial nephritis.

58. In February 2016, a study published in the *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* found that PPI use including Nexium, was independently associated with a 20% to 50% higher risk of incident chronic kidney disease ("CKD"), after adjusting for several potential confounding variables, including demographics, socioeconomic status, clinical measurements, prevalent comorbidities, and concomitant use of medications.

59. CKD, also called chronic kidney disease, describes the gradual loss of kidney

function. Kidneys filter wastes and excess fluids from the blood, which are then excreted. When chronic kidney disease reaches an advanced stage, dangerous levels of fluid, electrolytes and wastes can build up in the body. End state renal disease is the last stage of chronic kidney disease.

60. In the early stages of CKD, patients may have few signs or symptoms, so CKD may not become apparent until kidney function is significantly impaired.

61. Treatment for CKD focuses on slowing the progression of the kidney damage, usually by attempting to control the underlying cause. CKD can progress to end-stage kidney failure, which is fatal without artificial filtering, dialysis or a kidney transplant. Early treatment is often key to avoiding the most negative outcomes.

62. CKD is associated with a substantially increased risk of death and cardiovascular events.

63. CKD is identified by a blood test for creatinine, which is a breakdown product of muscle metabolism. Higher levels of creatinine indicate a lower glomerular filtration rate and as a result a decreased capability of the kidneys to excrete waste products.

64. In addition to the above studies, one study has linked the acute kidney injuries caused by PPIs, such as acute interstitial nephritis, to a later increased risk of CKD. The study noted that PPI induced acute kidney disease is often subtle and slowly diagnosed. Thus, the delay in diagnosis causes damage to the kidney to be increased and the patient has a higher risk of later developing CKD.

65. To date, Defendants' prescription Nexium lacks detailed risk information for CKD.

66. Defendants knew or should have known of the risk of kidney disease based on the data available to them or that could have been generated by them, including but not limited to

animal studies, mechanisms of action, pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetics, pre-clinical studies, clinical studies, animal models, genetic models, analogous compounds, analogous conditions, adverse event reports, case reports, post-marketing reports and regulatory authority investigations.

67. Despite their knowledge of the risks of kidney injuries associated with their Nexium, Defendants took no action to inform Decedent MARY ANN FONNER or her physicians of this known risk. Instead, Defendants continued to represent that Nexium did not pose any risks of kidney injuries. They promoted and marketed Nexium as safe and effective for persons such as Decedent MARY ANN FONNER throughout the United States, including West Virginia.

68. Defendants knew of the significant risk of kidney damage that could result from long-term Nexium use, but Defendants did not adequately and sufficiently warn consumers, including Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, her physicians or the medical community in a timely manner.

69. Even if used as directed, Defendants failed to adequately warn against the negative effects and risks associated with this Nexium including, but not necessarily limited to, long term usage and the cumulative effects of long term usage.

70. In omitting, concealing, and inadequately providing critical safety information regarding the use of Nexium in order to induce its purchase and use, Defendants engaged in and continue to engage in conduct likely to mislead consumers including Decedent MARY ANN FONNER. This conduct is fraudulent, unfair, and unlawful.

71. Despite clear knowledge that Nexium causes a significantly increased risk of CKD and acute kidney injuries, Defendants continued to market and sell Nexium without warning

consumers or healthcare providers of the significant risks of CKD and acute kidney injuries.

72. Even if used as directed, persons who ingested Nexium, such as Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, have been exposed to significant risks stemming from unindicated and/or long term usage.

73. Consumers, including Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, and their physicians relied on the Defendants’ false representations and were misled as to Nexium’s safety.

74. Had Decedent MARY ANN FONNER known of the risks of kidney disease associated with Defendants’ Nexium, she would not have used Defendants’ Nexium.

75. At all relevant times, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER had alternative safer methods for treating peptic disorders that provided the same benefits but acted through a different mechanism and were not associated with kidney disease.

76. One alternative was H2 antagonists, also called H2 blockers, a class of medications that block the action of histamine at the histamine H2 receptors of the parietal cells in the stomach. The use of H2 receptor antagonists, which are prescribed for the same indication as PPIs, is not associated with CKD.

77. As a result of Defendants' action and inactions as outlined herein, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was injured due to her ingestion of Nexium, which caused her to suffer from AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death.

78. Prior to Summer 2016, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER did not know about the causal link between her mother, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER’s fatal injuries and her ingestion of Defendants’ Nexium.

79. It was not until about June of 2016 that Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER first learned of the possible causal link.

80. Prior to Summer 2016, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER did not have access to or actually receive any studies or information recognizing the increased risk of chronic kidney disease associated with Nexium use.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS (NEGLIGENCE)

81. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

82. Defendants had a duty to exercise reasonable care in the designing, researching, manufacturing, marketing, supplying, promoting, packaging, sale and/or distribution of Nexium into the stream of commerce, including a duty to assure that the product would not cause users to suffer unreasonable, dangerous side effects.

83. Defendants failed to exercise ordinary care in the designing, researching, manufacturing, marketing, supplying, promoting, packaging, sale, testing, quality assurance, quality control, and/or distribution of Nexium into interstate commerce in that Defendants knew or should have known that using Nexium could proximately cause Decedent MARY ANN FONNER's injuries. Specifically, Defendants failed to meet their duty to use reasonable care in the testing, creating, designing, manufacturing, labeling, packaging, marketing, selling, and warning of Nexium. Defendants are liable for acts and/or omissions amounting to negligence, gross negligence and/or malice including, but not limited to the following:

(a) Failure to adequately warn Decedent MARY ANN FONNER and her physicians of the known or reasonably foreseeable danger that Decedent MARY ANN FONNER would suffer a serious injury or death by ingesting Nexium;

(b) Failure to adequately warn Decedent MARY ANN FONNER and her physicians of the known or reasonably foreseeable danger that Decedent MARY ANN FONNER would suffer a serious injury or death by ingesting Nexium in unsafe doses;

(c) Failure to use reasonable care in testing and inspecting Nexium so as to ascertain whether or not it was safe for the purpose for which it was designed, manufactured and sold;

(d) Failure to use reasonable care in implementing and/or utilizing a reasonably safe design in the manufacture of Nexium;

(e) Failure to use reasonable care in the process of manufacturing Nexium in a reasonably safe condition for the use for which it was intended;

(f) Failure to use reasonable care in the manner and method of warning Decedent MARY ANN FONNER and her physicians as to the danger and risks of using Nexium in unsafe doses; and

(g) Such further acts and/or omissions that may be proven at trial.

84. The above-described acts and/or omissions of Defendants were a direct and proximate cause of the severe, permanent and disabling injuries and resulting damages to Decedent MARY ANN FONNER.

85. The negligence of the Defendants, their agents, servants, and/or employees, included but was not limited to the following acts and/or omissions:

(a) Manufacturing, producing, promoting, formulating, creating, and/or designing Nexium without thoroughly testing it;

(b) Manufacturing, producing, promoting, formulating, creating, and/or designing Nexium without adequately testing it;

(c) Not conducting sufficient testing programs to determine whether or not Nexium was safe for use; in that Defendants herein knew or should have known that Nexium was unsafe and unfit for use by reason of the dangers to its users;

(d) Selling Nexium without making proper and sufficient tests to determine the dangers to its users;

(e) Negligently failing to adequately and correctly warn Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, the public, the medical and healthcare profession, and the FDA of the dangers of Nexium;

(f) Failing to provide adequate instructions regarding safety precautions to be observed by users, handlers, and persons who would reasonably and foreseeably come into contact with, and more particularly, use, Nexium;

(g) Failing to test Nexium and/or failing to adequately, sufficiently and properly test Nexium.

(h) Negligently advertising and recommending the use of Nexium without sufficient knowledge as to its dangerous propensities;

(i) Negligently representing that Nexium was safe for use for its intended purpose, when, in fact, it was unsafe;

(j) Negligently designing Nexium in a manner which was dangerous to its users;

(k) Negligently manufacturing Nexium in a manner which was dangerous to its users;

(l) Negligently producing Nexium in a manner which was dangerous to its users;

(m) Negligently assembling Nexium in a manner which was dangerous to its users;

(n) Concealing information from Decedent MARY ANN FONNER in knowing that Nexium was unsafe, dangerous, and/or non-conforming with FDA regulations.

86. Defendants under-reported, underestimated and downplayed the serious dangers of Nexium.

87. Defendants negligently compared the safety risk and/or dangers of Nexium with other forms of treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

88. Defendants were negligent in the designing, researching, supplying, manufacturing, promoting, packaging, distributing, testing, advertising, warning, marketing and sale of Nexium in that they:

(a) Failed to use due care in designing and manufacturing Nexium so as to avoid the aforementioned risks to individuals when Nexium was used for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy;

(b) Failed to accompany their product with proper and/or accurate warnings regarding all possible adverse side effects associated with the use of Nexium;

(c) Failed to accompany their product with proper warnings regarding all possible adverse side effects concerning the failure and/or malfunction of Nexium;

(d) Failed to accompany their product with accurate warnings regarding the risks of all possible adverse side effects concerning Nexium;

(e) Failed to warn Decedent MARY ANN FONNER of the severity and duration of such adverse effects, as the warnings given did not accurately reflect the symptoms, or severity of the side effects;

(f) Failed to conduct adequate testing, including pre-clinical and clinical testing and post-marketing surveillance to determine the safety of Nexium;

(g) Failed to warn Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, prior to actively encouraging the sale of Nexium, either directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, about the need for more comprehensive, more regular medical monitoring than usual to ensure early discovery of potentially serious side effects;

(h) Were otherwise careless and/or negligent.

89. Despite the fact that Defendants knew or should have known that Nexium caused unreasonably dangerous side effects, Defendants continued and continue to market, manufacture, distribute and/or sell Nexium to consumers, including Decedent MARY ANN FONNER.

90. Defendants knew or should have known that consumers such as Decedent MARY ANN FONNER would foreseeably suffer injury and death as a result of Defendants' failure to exercise ordinary care, as set forth above.

91. Defendants' negligence was the proximate cause of Decedent MARY ANN FONNER's injuries and death.

92. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was caused to suffer serious and dangerous side effects including, AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death.

93. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER as co-executrix of the Estate of MARY ANN FONNER, on behalf of the statutory beneficiaries prescribed by West Virginia Code §55-7-6 has been damaged as against the Defendants in an amount of fair and reasonable compensation which meets the jurisdictional requirements of this court.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
AS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS
(STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY)

94. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

95. At all times herein mentioned, the Defendants designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold, distributed, and/or have recently acquired the Defendants who have designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold and distributed Nexium as hereinabove described that was used by Decedent MARY ANN FONNER.

96. That Nexium was expected to and did reach the usual consumers, handlers, and persons coming into contact with said product without substantial change in the condition in which it was produced, manufactured, sold, distributed, and marketed by the Defendants.

97. At those times, Nexium was in an unsafe, defective, and inherently dangerous condition, which was dangerous to users, and in particular, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER.

98. The Nexium designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold and distributed by Defendants was defective in design or formulation in that, when it left the hands of the manufacturer and/or suppliers, the foreseeable risks exceeded the benefits associated with the design or formulation of Nexium.

99. The Nexium designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold and distributed by Defendants was defective in design and/or formulation, in that, when it left the hands of the Defendants manufacturers and/or suppliers, it was unreasonably dangerous, and it was more dangerous than an ordinary consumer would expect.

100. At all times herein mentioned, Nexium was in a defective condition and unsafe, and Defendants knew or had reason to know that said product was defective and unsafe, especially when used in the form and manner as provided by the Defendants.

101. Defendants knew, or should have known that at all times herein mentioned its Nexium was in a defective condition, and was and is inherently dangerous and unsafe.

102. At the time of Decedent MARY ANN FONNER's use of Nexium, Nexium was being used for the purposes and in a manner normally intended for the treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

103. Defendants with this knowledge voluntarily designed its Nexium in a dangerous condition for use by the public, and in particular Decedent MARY ANN FONNER.

104. Defendants had a duty to create a product that was not unreasonably dangerous for its normal, intended use.

105. Defendants created a product unreasonably dangerous for its normal, intended use.

106. The Nexium designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold and distributed by Defendants was manufactured defectively in that Nexium left the hands of Defendants in a defective condition and was unreasonably dangerous to its intended users.

107. The Nexium designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold and distributed by Defendants reached their intended users in the same defective and unreasonably dangerous condition in which the Defendants' Nexium was manufactured.

108. Defendants designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold and distributed a defective product which created an unreasonable risk to the health of consumers and to Decedent MARY ANN FONNER in particular, and Defendants are therefore strictly liable for the injuries sustained by Decedent MARY ANN FONNER.

109. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER could not, by the exercise of reasonable care, have discovered Nexium's defects herein mentioned and perceived its danger.

110. Nexium was designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold and distributed by Defendants was defective due to inadequate warnings or instructions as the Defendants knew or should have known that the product created a risk of serious and dangerous side effects including kidney injuries, as well as other severe and personal

injuries which are permanent and lasting in nature and the Defendants failed to adequately warn of said risk.

111. Nexium was designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold and distributed by Defendants was defective due to inadequate warnings and/or inadequate testing.

112. Nexium was designed, researched, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold and distributed by Defendants was defective due to inadequate post-marketing surveillance and/or warnings because, after Defendants knew or should have known of the risks of serious side effects including kidney injuries, as well as other severe and permanent health consequences from Nexium, they failed to provide adequate warnings to users or consumers of the product, and continued to improperly advertise, market and/or promote their product, Nexium.

113. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendants have become strictly liable in tort to the Plaintiff for the manufacturing, marketing, promoting, distribution, and selling of a defective product, Nexium.

114. Defendants' defective design, manufacturing defect, and inadequate warnings of Nexium were acts that amount to willful, wanton, and/or reckless conduct by Defendants.

115. That said defects in Defendants' drug Nexium were a substantial factor in causing Decedent MARY ANN FONNER's injuries and death.

116. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was caused to suffer serious and dangerous side effects including, AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death.

117. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER as co-executrix of the Estate of MARY ANN FONNER, on behalf of the statutory beneficiaries prescribed by West Virginia Code §55-7-6 has been damaged as against the Defendants in an amount of fair and reasonable compensation which meets the jurisdictional requirements of this court.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
AS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS
(BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY)

118. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

119. Defendants expressly warranted that Nexium was safe and well accepted by users.

120. Nexium does not conform to these express representations because Nexium is not safe and has numerous serious side effects, many of which were not accurately warned about by Defendants. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of said warranties, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER suffered severe and permanent personal injuries, harm and economic loss.

121. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER did rely on the express warranties of the Defendants herein.

122. Members of the medical community, including physicians and other healthcare professionals, relied upon the representations and warranties of the Defendants for use of Nexium in recommending, prescribing, and/or dispensing Nexium.

123. The Defendants herein breached the aforesaid express warranties, as their drug Nexium was defective.

124. Defendants expressly represented to Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, her physicians, healthcare providers, and/or the FDA that Nexium was safe and fit for use for the

purposes intended, that it was of merchantable quality, that it did not produce any dangerous side effects in excess of those risks associated with other forms for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy, that the side effects it did produce were accurately reflected in the warnings and that it was adequately tested and fit for its intended use.

125. Defendants knew or should have known that, in fact, said representations and warranties were false, misleading and untrue in that Nexium was not safe and fit for the use intended, and, in fact, produced serious injuries to the users that were not accurately identified and represented by Defendants.

126. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was caused to suffer serious and dangerous side effects including, AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death.

127. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER as co-executrix of the Estate of MARY ANN FONNER, on behalf of the statutory beneficiaries prescribed by West Virginia Code §55-7-6 has been damaged as against the Defendants in an amount of fair and reasonable compensation which meets the jurisdictional requirements of this court.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
AS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS
(BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES)

128. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

129. At all times herein mentioned, the Defendants manufactured, compounded, portrayed, distributed, recommended, merchandized, advertised, promoted and sold Nexium

and/or have recently acquired the Defendants who have manufactured, compounded, portrayed, distributed, recommended, merchandized, advertised, promoted and sold Nexium for the treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

130. At the time Defendants marketed, sold, and distributed Nexium for use by Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, Defendants knew of the use for which Nexium was intended and impliedly warranted the product to be of merchantable quality and safe and fit for such use.

131. The Defendants impliedly represented and warranted to the users of Nexium and their physicians, healthcare providers, and/or the FDA that Nexium was safe and of merchantable quality and fit for the ordinary purpose for which said product was to be used.

132. That said representations and warranties aforementioned were false, misleading, and inaccurate in that Nexium was unsafe, unreasonably dangerous, improper, not of merchantable quality, and defective.

133. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, and/or members of the medical community and/or healthcare professionals did rely on said implied warranty of merchantability of fitness for a particular use and purpose.

134. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER and her physicians and healthcare professionals reasonably relied upon the skill and judgment of Defendants as to whether Nexium was of merchantable quality and safe and fit for its intended use.

135. Nexium was injected into the stream of commerce by the Defendants in a defective, unsafe, and inherently dangerous condition and the products and materials were expected to and did reach users, handlers, and persons coming into contact with said products without substantial change in the condition in which they were sold.

136. The Defendants herein breached the aforesaid implied warranties, as their drug Nexium was not fit for its intended purposes and uses.

137. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was caused to suffer AIN, CKD, renal failure and death.

138. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER as co-executrix of the Estate of MARY ANN FONNER, on behalf of the statutory beneficiaries prescribed by West Virginia Code §55-7-6 has been damaged as against the Defendants in an amount of fair and reasonable compensation which meets the jurisdictional requirements of this court.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS (FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION)

139. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

140. The Defendants falsely and fraudulently represented to the medical and healthcare community, and to the Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, and/or the FDA, and the public in general, that said product, Nexium had been tested and was found to be safe and/or effective for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

141. That representations made by Defendants were, in fact, false.

142. When said representations were made by Defendants, they knew those representations to be false and willfully, wantonly and recklessly disregarded whether the representations were true.

143. These representations were made by said Defendants with the intent of defrauding and deceiving Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, the public in general, and the medical and

healthcare community in particular, and were made with the intent of inducing the public in general, and the medical and healthcare community in particular, to recommend, prescribe, dispense and/or purchase said product, Nexium, for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy, all of which evinced a callous, reckless, willful, depraved indifference to the health, safety and welfare of Decedent MARY ANN FONNER.

144. At the time the aforesaid representations were made by the Defendants and, at the time Decedent MARY ANN FONNER used Nexium, she was unaware of the falsity of said representations and reasonably believed them to be true.

145. In reliance upon said representations, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was induced to and did use Nexium, thereby suffering severe personal injuries and death.

146. Said Defendants knew and were aware or should have been aware that Nexium had not been sufficiently tested, was defective in nature, and/or that it lacked adequate and/or sufficient warnings.

147. Defendants knew or should have known that Nexium had a potential to, could, and would cause severe and grievous injury to the users of said product, and that it was inherently dangerous in a manner that exceeded any purported, inaccurate, and/or down-played warnings.

148. Defendants brought Nexium to the market, and acted fraudulently, wantonly and maliciously to the detriment of Decedent MARY ANN FONNER.

149. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was caused to suffer serious and dangerous side effects including AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death.

150. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER as co-executrix of the Estate of MARY ANN FONNER, on behalf of the statutory beneficiaries prescribed by West Virginia Code §55-7-6 has been damaged as against the Defendants in an amount of fair and reasonable compensation which meets the jurisdictional requirements of this court.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS (FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT)

151. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

152. At all times during the course of dealing between Defendants and Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, and her healthcare providers, and/or the FDA, Defendants misrepresented the safety of Nexium for its intended use.

153. Defendants knew or were reckless in not knowing that its representations were false.

154. In representations to Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, and her healthcare providers, and/or the FDA, Defendants fraudulently concealed and intentionally omitted the following material information:

(a) that Nexium was not as safe as other forms of treatment for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy;

(b) that the risks of adverse events with Nexium were higher than those with other forms of treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy;

(c) that the risks of adverse events with Nexium were not adequately tested and/or known by Defendants;

(d) that Defendants were aware of dangers in Nexium, in addition to and above and beyond those associated with other forms of treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy;

(e) that Nexium was defective, and that it caused dangerous side effects, including but not limited to kidney injuries;

(f) that patients needed to be monitored more regularly than normal while using Nexium;

(g) that Nexium was manufactured negligently;

(h) that Nexium was manufactured defectively;

(i) that Nexium was manufactured improperly;

(j) that Nexium was designed negligently;

(k) that Nexium was designed defectively; and

(l) that Nexium was designed improperly.

155. Defendants were under a duty to disclose to Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, and her physicians, hospitals, healthcare providers, and/or the FDA the defective nature of Nexium, including but not limited to the heightened risks of kidney injury and death.

156. Defendants had sole access to material facts concerning the defective nature of the product and its propensity to cause serious and dangerous side effects, and hence, cause damage to persons who used Nexium, including Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, in particular.

157. Defendants' concealment and omissions of material facts concerning, inter alia, the safety of Nexium was made purposefully, willfully, wantonly, and/or recklessly, to mislead Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, and her physicians, hospitals and healthcare providers into

reliance, continued use of Nexium, and actions thereon, and to cause them to purchase, prescribe, and/or dispense Nexium and/or use the product.

158. Defendants knew that Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, and her physicians, hospitals, healthcare providers, and/or the FDA had no way to determine the truth behind Defendants' concealment and omissions, and that these included material omissions of facts surrounding Nexium, as set forth herein.

159. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, as well as her doctors, healthcare providers, and/or hospitals reasonably relied on facts revealed which negligently, fraudulently and/or purposefully did not include facts that were concealed and/or omitted by Defendants.

160. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was caused to suffer serious and dangerous side effects including, AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death.

161. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER as co-executrix of the Estate of MARY ANN FONNER, on behalf of the statutory beneficiaries prescribed by West Virginia Code §55-7-6 has been damaged as against the Defendants in an amount of fair and reasonable compensation which meets the jurisdictional requirements of this court.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS (NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION)

162. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

163. Defendants had a duty to represent to the medical and healthcare community, and to Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, the FDA and the public in general that said product,

Nexium, had been tested and found to be safe and effective for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

164. The representations made by Defendants were, in fact, false.

165. Defendants failed to exercise ordinary care in the representation of Nexium, while involved in its manufacture, sale, testing, quality assurance, quality control, and/or distribution of said product into interstate commerce, in that Defendants negligently misrepresented Nexium's high risk of unreasonable, dangerous side effects.

166. Defendants breached their duty in representing Nexium's serious side effects to the medical and healthcare community, to the Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, the FDA and the public in general.

167. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was caused to suffer serious and dangerous side effects including AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death.

168. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER as co-executrix of the Estate of MARY ANN FONNER, on behalf of the statutory beneficiaries prescribed by West Virginia Code §55-7-6 has been damaged as against the Defendants in an amount of fair and reasonable compensation which meets the jurisdictional requirements of this court.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS (FRAUD AND DECEIT)

169. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

170. Defendants conducted research and used Nexium as part of their research.

171. As a result of Defendants' research and testing, or lack thereof, Defendants blatantly and intentionally distributed false information, including but not limited to assuring the public, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, her doctors, hospitals, healthcare professionals, and/or the FDA that Nexium was safe and effective for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

172. As a result of Defendants' research and testing, or lack thereof, Defendants intentionally omitted certain results of testing and research to the public, including Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, healthcare professionals, and/or the FDA.

173. Defendants had a duty when disseminating information to the public to disseminate truthful information and a parallel duty not to deceive the public and Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, as well as her respective healthcare providers and/or the FDA.

174. The information distributed to the public, the FDA, and Decedent MARY ANN FONNER by Defendants, including but not limited to reports, press releases, advertising campaigns, television commercials, print ads, magazine ads, billboards, and all other commercial media contained material representations of fact and/or omissions.

175. The information distributed to the public, the FDA, and Decedent MARY ANN FONNER by Defendants intentionally included representations that Defendants' drug Nexium was safe and effective for use for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

176. The information distributed to the public, the FDA, and Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, by Defendants intentionally included representations that Defendants' drug Nexium carried the same risks, hazards, and/or dangers as other forms of treatment for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

177. The information distributed to the public, the FDA, and the Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, by Defendants intentionally included false representations that Nexium was not injurious to the health and/or safety of its intended users.

178. The information distributed to the public, the FDA, and Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, by Defendants intentionally included false representations that Nexium was as potentially injurious to the health and/or safety of its intended as other forms of treatment for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

179. These representations were all false and misleading.

180. Upon information and belief, Defendants intentionally suppressed, ignored and disregarded test results not favorable to the Defendants, and results that demonstrated that Nexium was not safe as a means of treatment for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

181. Defendants intentionally made material representations to the FDA and the public, including the medical profession, and Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, regarding the safety of Nexium, specifically but not limited to Nexium not having dangerous and serious health and/or safety concerns.

182. Defendants intentionally made material representations to the FDA and the public in general, including the medical profession, and Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, regarding the safety of Nexium, specifically but not limited to Nexium being a safe means for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

183. That it was the purpose of Defendants in making these representations to deceive and defraud the public, the FDA, and/or Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, to gain the confidence of the public, healthcare professionals, the FDA, and/or Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, to falsely ensure the quality and fitness for use of Nexium induce the public, and/or Decedent MARY ANN FONNER to purchase, request, dispense, prescribe, recommend, and/or continue to use Nexium.

184. Defendants made the aforementioned false claims and false representations with the intent of convincing the public, healthcare professionals, the FDA, and/or Decedent MARY ANN FONNER that Nexium was fit and safe for use for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

185. Defendants made the aforementioned false claims and false representations with the intent of convincing the public, healthcare professionals, the FDA, and/or Decedent MARY ANN FONNER that Nexium was fit and safe for use for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

186. That Defendants made claims and representations in its documents submitted to the FDA, to the public, to healthcare professionals, and Decedent MARY ANN FONNER that Nexium did not present serious health and/or safety risks.

187. That Defendants made claims and representations in its documents submitted to the FDA, to the public, to healthcare professionals, and Decedent MARY ANN FONNER that Nexium did not present health and/or safety risks greater than other oral forms for treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

188. That these representations and others made Defendants were false when made, and/or were made with a pretense of actual knowledge when knowledge did not actually exist, and/or were made recklessly and without regard to the actual facts.

189. That these representations and others, made by Defendants, were made with the intention of deceiving and defrauding Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, as well as her respective healthcare professionals and/or the FDA, and were made in order to induce the Decedent MARY ANN FONNER and/or her respective healthcare professionals to rely upon misrepresentations and caused Decedent MARY ANN FONNER to purchase, use, rely on, request, dispense, recommend, and/or prescribe Nexium.

190. That Defendants, recklessly and intentionally falsely represented the dangerous and serious health and/or safety concerns of Nexium to the public at large, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER in particular, for the purpose of influencing the marketing of a product known to be dangerous and defective and/or not as safe as other alternatives, including other forms of treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

191. That Defendants willfully and intentionally failed to disclose the material facts regarding the dangerous and serious safety concerns of Nexium by concealing and suppressing material facts regarding the dangerous and serious health and/or safety concerns of Nexium.

192. That Defendants willfully and intentionally failed to disclose the truth, failed to disclose material facts and made false representations with the purpose and design of deceiving and lulling Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, as well as her respective healthcare professionals into a sense of security so that Decedent MARY ANN FONNER would rely on the representations and purchase, use and rely on Nexium and/or that her respective healthcare providers would dispense, prescribe, and/or recommend the same.

193. Defendants, through their public relations efforts, which included but were not limited to the public statements and press releases, knew or should have known that the public, including Decedent MARY ANN FONNER as well as her respective healthcare professionals, would rely upon the information being disseminated.

194. Defendants utilized direct to consumer adverting to market, promote, and/or advertise Nexium.

195. That Decedent MARY ANN FONNER and/or her respective healthcare professionals did in fact rely on and believe the Defendants' representations to be true at the time they were made and relied upon the representations as well as the superior knowledge of treatment of peptic disorders which include gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced gastropathy.

196. That at the time the representations were made, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER and/or her respective healthcare providers did not know the truth with regard to the dangerous and serious health and/or safety concerns of Nexium.

197. That Decedent MARY ANN FONNER did not discover the true facts with respect to the dangerous and serious health and/or safety concerns, and the false representations of Defendants, nor could she with reasonable diligence have discovered the true facts.

198. That had Decedent MARY ANN FONNER known the true facts with respect to the dangerous and serious health and/or safety concerns of Nexium, she would not have purchased, used and/or relied on Defendants' drug Nexium.

199. That the Defendants' aforementioned conduct constitutes fraud and deceit, and was committed and/or perpetrated willfully, wantonly and/or purposefully on Decedent MARY ANN FONNER.

200. As a result of the foregoing acts and omissions, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER was caused to suffer serious and dangerous side effects including, AIN, CKD, renal failure, and death.

201. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER as co-executrix of the Estate of MARY ANN FONNER, on behalf of the statutory beneficiaries prescribed by West Virginia Code §55-7-6 has been damaged as against the Defendants in an amount of fair and reasonable compensation which meets the jurisdictional requirements of this court.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION AS
AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS
(WRONGFUL DEATH)

202. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation of this Complaint contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs inclusive, with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth herein.

203. As a result of the foregoing, on May 20, 2015, Decedent MARY ANN FONNER,

died of AIN, CKD and renal failure, which were proximately caused by Nexium that Defendants designed, research, manufactured, tested, advertised, promoted, marketed, sold, and distributed.

204. Decedent MARY ANN FONNER left heirs, next-of-kin and/or distributees surviving who, by reason of the her death, have suffered a pecuniary loss including, but not limited to, support, income, services, and guidance of Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, and were all permanently damaged thereby.

205. At all times herein mentioned, the actions of the named Defendants and their agents, servants, and/or employees, were wanton, grossly negligent, reckless and demonstrated a complete disregard and reckless indifference to the safety and welfare of the general public and to Decedent MARY ANN FONNER in particular.

206. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER as co-executrix of the Estate of MARY ANN FONNER, on behalf of the statutory beneficiaries prescribed by West Virginia Code §55-7-6 has been damaged as against the Defendants in an amount of fair and reasonable compensation which meets the jurisdictional requirements of this court.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff SHEREE KREUGER demands judgment against the Defendants on each of the above-referenced claims and Causes of Action and as follows:

1. Awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff and any heirs, next-of-kin and/or distributees for past and future damages, including but not limited to pain and suffering for severe and permanent personal injuries sustained by Decedent MARY ANN FONNER, health care costs, medical monitoring, together with interest and costs as provided by law;

2. Punitive and/or exemplary damages for the wanton, willful, fraudulent, reckless acts of the Defendants who demonstrated a complete disregard and reckless indifference for the

safety and welfare of the general public and to the Plaintiff in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and deter future similar conduct;

3. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees;

4. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of these proceedings; and

5. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury as to all issues.

Dated: April 13, 2017

/s/ Harry G. Deitzler__________________
Harry G. Deitzler (WVSB No. 981)
HILL, PETERSON, CARPER, BEE &DEITZLER, PLLC
500 Tracy Way
Charleston, WV 25311
Phone: (304) 345-5667
Fax: (304) 345-1519
Email: Hgdeitzler@hpcbd.com

-and-

/s/ Michael A. London__________________
MICHAEL A. LONDON (ML-7510)
Douglas and London, P.C.
59 Maiden Lane, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10038
Ph: (212) 566-7500
Fax: (212) 566-7501
Email: mlondon@douglasandlondon.com